➤

or sent to jail and through the court system just because they treat cancer differently. If we don't unite and take a stand, the condemnation of Nowicky may mean the end of Ukrain.

Many new patients who do not respond to chemotherapy will die, not being able to receive Ukrain treatment, and I am not exaggerating this reality. In many cases Ukrain is the only possible treatment that may save or increase the survival of the patient, as a last resort. I can only reiterate how important it is for Austrian health authorities to understand how Ukrain has already demonstrated a significant increase in survival rate compared with chemotherapy alone. In the treatment of advanced tumors Ukrain has substantially improved the quality of life and prolonged patient lifespan as previously documented on numerous occasions by German doctors, as well as with cancer patients treated in my clinic.

The Austrian authorities could be accused of deliberately depriving patients of the chance to save their lives, which not only can be a serious charge, but sufficient enough to prosecute. However, we hope that they will soon realize their mistake and show more sense and even more compassion for cancer patients and give access to Ukrain, which by now has become well known to more and more cancer patients searching for an alternative or support to their treatment.

Medicine cannot only reflect the image of an industry but must also use science to provide assistance to patients by every means possible. Too many cancer patients suffer and die undertaking chemotherapy, and this is tragic. Instead of making overtures to allow new avenues that may contribute to increasing quality of life, remission and less recurrence, we allow to the contrary more violence, intimidation, and repression. We can no longer tolerate more brutal arrests of honest researchers without first having conclusive evidence and proof instead of using only supposition and repressive tactics. Dr. James Siow from Australia, who also treats patients with Ukrain (he also endorsed the nomination of Nowicky for the Nobel Prize), spoke out, stating that this was medicopolitical repression in the darkest sense.

This is part of an ongoing battle to restrict our freedom of choice of which kind of food we want to eat and what medicine is best for us, especially to allow nonconventional methods that are nontoxic and useful alone or with chemotherapy. As I mentioned at the beginning of this article, several efficient anticancer treatments have already been removed from the market, decreasing the possibilities and alternatives to treat cancer with better results; for example, Polyerga injectable, Carzodelon, Wobe-Mugos injectable, Carnívora injectable, and others. Now this time we have the battle for Ukrain, and I believe that many doctors are seriously concerned about Nowicky, and their support is most welcome.

It is unacceptable that politicians at any level of public service be unaware of what is going on regarding the power of the multinational pharmaceutical cartel that uses disease or invents disease for multibillion dollar profits, or how honest researchers and medical doctors are persecuted, brutalized, or sent to jail just because they treated cancer disease differently and tried a new innovative approach to therapy, especially when conventional therapy failed.

Today's Pharma cartel originated even before Word War II. It has had considerable time to perfect its methods. Sixty-five years ago, this memorable prediction was spoken:

> If the responsibility of the economic interests behind WWII, namely the oil and drug cartel, would not be brought to daylight, they will cause even more harm in future generations than the Nazis ever could.
>
> – US Brigadier General Telford Taylor, chief counsel in the Nuremberg War Crimes Trial against IG Farben, 1947.

Notes

1. Lohunger A, Hamler F. Chelidonium majus (Ukrain) in the treatment of cancer patients. *Drugs Exp Clin Res*. 1992;18:Suppl:73–77.
2. Hohenwarter O, Stratzenberger K, Katinger H, Liepins A, Nowicky JW. Selective inhibition in vitro of cells growth by the anti-tumor drug Ukrain. *Drugs Exp Clin Res*. 1992;18:1–4.
3. Enby E, Gosch P. *Hidden Killers*. The rise of National Socialism.Sheehan Communications; 1990:6–9
4. Moss R. Are we making progress in the 'War on Cancer'? Part 2. *Townsend Lett*. October 2012;351.
5. Liepins A, Nowicky JW. Ukrain is selectively cytostatic and/or cytotoxic to human Tumor and HIVinjectable cells but not to human normal cells. 17th International Congress of Chemotherapy. Berlin; June 23–28, 1991.
6. Gausange F. Treatment of pancreatic cancer patients with Ukrain: Four case reports *Int Immunother*. 2003;19(2–4):91–94.
7. Aschloff B. Retrospective study of Ukrain treatment in 2003 patients with advanced-stage tumors. *Drugs Exp Clin Res*. 2000;26(5–6):24952.
8. Aschloff B. Retrospective study of Ukrain treatment in 28 patients with unreactable pancreatic cancer. *Int Immunother*. 2003;19(2–4):81–85.
9. Jurasunas S. New advance in pancreatic cancer. *Townsend Lett*. August/Sept 2009.
10. Jurasunas S. The effectiveness of a combination therapy in an advanced uterine cancer with neoplasic infiltrative lesions. *Townsend Lett*. August/Sept 2008.

◆



Serge Jurasunas is an internationally well known doctor of natural and alternative medicine with over 40 years of experience in the treatment of cancer. He is developing innovative therapies in cancer treatment and is a pioneer in live blood analysis, dried blood oxidative stress, and iridology.

Dr. Jurasunas is busy working with P53 tumor suppressor gene and other molecular markers testing related to cancer patients and patients with high risk of cancer.

For more information and to learn about cancer treatment, molecular markers, and clinical cases at Holiterapias Clinic, please visit www.sergejuraunas.com; e-mail: info@sergejurasunas.com; phone: +351 213471117.